UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/480,586	01/10/2000	Eddie Woodrow Dooley	9D-HL-19136-	4501
John S Beulick	7590 02/22/200	EXAMINER		
Armstrong Teas		BINDA, GREGORY JOHN		
One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/480,586	DOOLEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Greg Binda	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ja</u>	nuary 2008					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,14 and 16-21</u> is/are pending i	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,14 and 16-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-11,13,14 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>5 and 21</u> is/are objected to.						
<u> </u>						
Old Int(3) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Claims 17-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Applicant timely elected a hub assembly and traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on September 6, 2007.
- 3. This application contains claims 17-20 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on September 6, 2007. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Specification

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because:
 - a. Page 4, line 23 and page 5, line 7, the term "hub 18" should be changed to "hub 14".
 - b. The blank space at page 5, line 16 needs to be filled in.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3679

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 7-11, 13, 14 & 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 7, lines 9 & 10 recites the limitation, "a portion of said corresponding rib contacting a surface defining said groove". Applicant has not pointed out where this limitation is supported, nor does there appear to be a written description of the limitation in the application as originally filed. To the contrary, Fig. 4 shows each rib 32 separated from, not in contact with, each groove 20. See also page 5, lines 12-14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. Claims 1-4 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCabe, US 1,861,640. Figs. 1-6 show a hub assembly for a washing machine transmission, the hub assembly comprising:

a brake hub 10, 12 defining a circular opening there through, a plurality of ribs 18 extending radially inward from an interior surface of the brake hub; and

an isolator insert 13 comprising a plurality of legs 15, the isolator insert positioned at least partially within the brake hub opening, each leg of the plurality of legs configured to extend

Art Unit: 3679

through the opening and between adjacent ribs pf the plurality of ribs with the isolator insert inserted into the brake hub.

Figs. 2 & 3 show the isolator insert 13 further comprises a ring, the legs 15 connected to the ring.

8. Claims 1-4 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woodin, US 2,218,275. Figs. 1-4 show a hub assembly for a washing machine transmission, the hub assembly comprising:

a brake hub 12 defining a circular opening there through, a plurality of ribs 10 extending radially inward from an interior surface of the brake hub; and

an isolator insert 6 comprising a plurality of legs 8, the isolator insert positioned at least partially within the brake hub opening, each leg of the plurality of legs configured to extend through the opening and between adjacent ribs pf the plurality of ribs with the isolator insert inserted into the brake hub.

Figs. 3 & 4 show the isolator insert 6 further comprises a ring, the legs 8 connected to the ring.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5 & 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3679

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Greg Binda/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679